



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/742,955	12/20/2000	Tammara Combs	80994DMW	8704	
75	590 09/15/2003				
Thomas H. Close			EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
343 State Street					
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2174	6	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/742,955** 

Applicant(s)

Combs et al

Examiner

Steve Sax

Art Unit 2174



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with t	he correspondence address
	or Reply			
THE N	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		-	
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, ma	ay a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the processed by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) it he application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\it Ex\ pa$			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	<del> </del>		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	·		is/are objected to.
	Claims			
	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held	d in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office act	ion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pa	riority under 35	U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
•	I. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	l.	
:	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	l in Appli	ication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure.	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	-
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ∟	a a section of the following augo provisions			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachme		<b>4.</b> 🗆		
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)			413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  6) Other:				
٠, ,,,,,		6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al (6222937) and Schmitt (5983220).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Cohen et al show navigating pictures (column 2 lines 20-45), providing first, second, and third dimensions representing first, second, and third characteristics of grouped pictures (Figure 7, Figure 21, column 5 lines 5-35, column 7 lines 39-60, column 18 lines 35-50), and providing in a scatter plot a plurality of pictures according to each dimension along each axis (Figure 7, column 7 lines 39-60). The third dimension is evidenced by distinct visual characteristics of the icons (shading, Figure 7). Cohen et al do not specifically show how the pictures are retrieved from a database, where each icon represents a group of pictures in a database, but Schmitt shows this (abstract, Figure 16, 23, column 2 lines 35-65) to navigate

Art Unit: 2174

pictures. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in .

Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Schmitt shows that the dimensions along the display for the icons are determined by metadata stored with the picture data (Figures 3, 9). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures along axes.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, those metadata in Schmitt are configurable by a user to represent specific characteristics and attributes (colum 4 lines 25-52). This is part of the feature in which the obviousness to combine follows as above.
- 7. Regarding claim 4, the dimensions are linked and changes to one affect the others (Cohen et al Figure 33, column 16 lines 20-57).
- 8. Regarding claim 5, the groups of pictures are pictures in a common strip of film (Cohen et al column 4 lines 5-15, column 5 lines 1-14, different shots taken of the same object, also column 7 lines 30-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/742955

Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

- 9. Regarding claim 6, the third dimension is evidenced by shading/color differences (Cohen et al Figure 7).
- 10. Regarding claim 7, the gradations are determinable by a user (Cohen et al Figures 7, 9A-E, column 7 lines 15-25).
- 11. Regarding claim 8, neither Cohen et al nor Schmitt show identifiable persons, but Official Notice is taken that this is possible. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have identifiable persons as pictures in Cohen et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.
- 12. Regarding claim 9, Cohen et al show the characteristics show an identifiable object (column 4 lines 5-15, same identifiable object).
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Schmitt shows identifiable events (Figure 26, car feature selection). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in the pictures of Cohen et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.
- 14. Regarding claim 11, the pictures characteristics in Cohen et al include temporal information (colum 6 lines 5-19).

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 5

Art Unit: 2174

15. Regarding claims 12-13, the date or time of day of capture is not specifically mentioned in Cohen et al or Schmitt, but Official Notice is taken that this is possible. it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary sill in the art to have this, because it would provide a convenient way to obtain temporal information.

- 16. Regarding claim 14, the characteistics include identifiable capture locations (column 7 lines 15-47, column 25 lines 5-36).
- 17 Regarding claim 15, the characteristics include explanatory comments (Schmitt Figure 16). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to include this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.
- 18. Claims 16-20 show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)	746-7238
-------	----------

After Final Communication

(703) 746-7239

Official Communication

(703) 746-7420

For Status Inquiries, draft communication

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

STEVEN SAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER